From: "Gravatt, Dan" </O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE;GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=AA541C35E726461A8D36825C87A211A2-GRAVATT,DAN>

To: Whitley

Christopher;Whitaker

Dianna;

CC: Thomas

Hattie;

Date: 9/25/2013 10:10:52 AM

Subject: RE: Final review for Missouri Electric Works Superfund site

No other factual errors that I noted.

Daniel R. Gravatt, PG US EPA Region 7 SUPR/MOKS 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, KS 66219 Phone (913)-551-7324

Principles and integrity are expensive, but they are among the very few things worth having.

From: Whitley, Christopher

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 10:07 AM

To: Gravatt, Dan; Whitaker, Dianna

Cc: Thomas, Hattie

Subject: RE: Final review for Missouri Electric Works Superfund site

I will reach out to Samantha Rinehart and see if she will run a correction notice regarding:

- 1. It's a five-year review, not a final review; and
- 2. Soil was cleaned up in 1999-2000 (13 years ago), not five years ago.

Are there any other errors in the Southeast Missourian story?

Chris Whitley

Public Affairs Specialist
U.S. EPA Region 7 Office of Public Affairs

11201 Renner Boulevard Lenexa, KS 66219 913-551-7394

From: Gravatt, Dan

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 10:02 AM **To:** Whitley, Christopher; Whitaker, Dianna

Cc: Thomas, Hattie

Subject: RE: Final review for Missouri Electric Works Superfund site

The other error (common to both the SE Missourian article and the AP article) is the statement to the effect that the soil was cleaned up five years ago. The soil remedy was implemented in 1999-2000, or about thirteen years ago.

Daniel R. Gravatt, PG US EPA Region 7 SUPR/MOKS 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, KS 66219 Phone (913)-551-7324

Principles and integrity are expensive, but they are among the very few things worth having.

From: Whitley, Christopher

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 9:48 AM

To: Whitaker, Dianna; Gravatt, Dan

Cc: Thomas, Hattie

Subject: RE: Final review for Missouri Electric Works Superfund site

So do I understand correctly that the only inaccuracy to the AP story is that it says we are conducting our final review? Are there any other inaccuracies?

Chris Whitley

Public Affairs Specialist U.S. EPA Region 7 Office of Public Affairs 11201 Renner Boulevard Lenexa, KS 66219 913-551-7394

From: Whitaker, Dianna

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 9:42 AM

To: Whitley, Christopher; Gravatt, Dan **Cc:** Thomas, Hattie; Whitaker, Dianna

Subject: FW: Final review for Missouri Electric Works Superfund site

Chris and Dan,

I reviewed the AP story attached about the MEW Site. The unnamed AP reporter states that EPA is conducting the final review for the site. This statement is not correct because EPA conducts Five Year Reviews at NPL sites as long as contamination remains onsite.

On September 9, Dan Gravatt and I spoke with a Southeast Missourian reporter, Samatha Rinehart. She contacted us after our ad appeared in her paper to announce the initiation of the third Five Year Review for the MEW Site. After our interview, I checked SE Missourian website several times but did not find an article. However, on September 16, an article did appear by the reporter. I pulled it up today and I think it is an accurate representation of our interview. I think the AP reporter had to use the SE Missourian article to prepare his/her article. Unfortunately, the AP reporter changed the story to state that EPA is conducting our final review at the site. This is not correct.

I will try to send you a link to the SE Missourian story after I forward this email.

Dianna Whitaker
Community Involvement Coordinator
Office of Public Affairs
EPA Region 7
11201 Renner Blvd.
Lenexa, KS 66219
913-551-7598
whitaker.dianna@epa.gov

From: Thomas, Hattie

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 9:03 AM

To: Whitaker, Dianna **Cc:** Whitley, Christopher

Subject: FW: Final review for Cape Superfund site

Good morning Dianna – please note the attached article. Dan stopped by this morning and said it's full of errors. Would you work with Chris Whitley and see what steps we need to take to correct it? This is the AP story; however, Dan indicated that the interview was with another publication, not the AP. Let me know if you have

From: thomas.hattie@epa.gov [mailto:thomas.hattie@epa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 8:30 AM

To: Thomas, Hattie; Whitaker, Dianna

Subject: Final review for Cape Superfund site

NorthJersey.com



* Please note, the sender's email address has not been verified.

Please note the attached article

Click the following to access the sent link:

Final review for Cape Superfund site*

Save This Link

Forward This Link

Get your EMAIL THIS Browser Button and use it to email content from any Web site. <u>Click here</u> for more information.

*This article can also be accessed if you copy and paste the entire address below into your web browser. http://www.northjersey.com/news/national/224994662_Final_review_for_Cape_Superfund_site.html